Lewis Elementary School
2021-2022 School Accountability Report Card
(Published During the 2022-2023 School Year)

General Information about the School Accountability Report Card (SARC)

SARC Overview	By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC. For more information about SARC requirements and access to prior year reports, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/ For more information about the LCFF or the LCAP, see the CDE LCFF web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/ For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.
DataQuest	DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest web page at https://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).
California School Dashboard	The California School Dashboard (Dashboard) https://www.caschooldashboard.org/ reflects California's new accountability and continuous improvement system and provides information about how LEAs and schools are meeting the needs of California's diverse student population. The Dashboard contains reports that display the performance of LEAs, schools, and student groups on a set of state and local measures to assist in identifying strengths, challenges, and areas in need of improvement.
Internet Access	Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

2022-23 School Contact Information

School Name	Lewis Elementary School
Street	13220 Bellflower Blvd.
City, State, Zip	Downey, CA 90242
Phone Number	(562) 904-3590
Principal	Allison Box
Email Address	abox@dusd.net
School Website	
County-District-School (CDS) Code	19 64451 6012785

2022-23 District Contact Information

District Name	Downey Unified School District
Phone Number	(562) 469-6500
Superintendent	John A. Garcia, Jr., Ph.D.
Email Address	webadmin@dusd.net
District Website Address	www.dusd.net

2022-23 School Overview

It is my pleasure to introduce this annual School Accountability Report Card. The data and information contained within these pages will prove useful in informing you about our school, including, but not limited to academic standings, curriculum and instruction, school facilities and safety, budget, and facility enhancement.

As you read our School Accountability Report Card, you will see a school that ensures every child has equal access to a rigorous core curriculum in language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. The hard-working staff is both skilled and dedicated to the success of our students. The parents, PTA, School Site Council (SSC), and community organizations are very supportive and contribute greatly to our school programs.

Lewis Elementary School believes that improvements and enhancements to all aspects of our instructional program must be implemented based on performance data. We continue to be a "data-driven" school where data drives what we do on daily basis. Our "data-driven" culture focuses on one question that we continually ask as educators, "Are our students learning?" As we use data from the Smarter Balanced Assessment system, it is our goal to create rigorous common assessments that provide meaningful data. The administering of the assessments is just one key principle. Most importantly, as grade level PLC's, the analysis of data and examining the results of the assessments to identify strengths and areas of needed improvement is key. Our instruction is then put into "action." Strategic decision making by our teachers is common practice. We follow three key principles when it comes to being a "data-driven" culture: 1) Analyze results together, 2) Establish common goals and lesson plans, and 3) Develop an action plan to re-teach standards to ensure all students are learning. Our Instructional Leadership Team (ILT), has worked diligently with all stakeholders to create and monitor an internal accountability system, growing out of student learning goals that promote measurable student gains in learning for every student with the expectation to eliminate any achievement/opportunity gaps. As a school, we have set SMARTe goals as part of our accountability system. Lewis Elementary School's SMARTe goals are (Specific, Measurable, Attainable, Relevant, Timely, and they measure Every Student). It is our goal to build a culture and school that guarantees the highest level of learning for every student. Our students deserve the best!

We believe that all students must have access to a positive and challenging learning environment to guide and inspire them in realizing their individual potential and to ensure they graduate college and career ready. Collaboration and communication continues to be a priority for all stakeholders at Lewis Elementary School. As a staff, our analysis of data is on-going throughout the year. There are many opportunities where stakeholders gather to discuss strengths of programs and areas of

2022-23 School Overview

needed improvement. Over the last few years, Lewis Elementary School has worked very closely and collaboratively with stakeholder groups that include: School Site Council (SSC), English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), Parent Teacher Association (PTA), and Instructional Leadership Team (ILT) to identify and implement a school-wide instructional focus. Our instructional focus is evidenced throughout our school's "halls" and "walls." Our daily words and actions reflect our focus and goal of strengthening our core instruction. There is scheduled time at the beginning of the school year, to review the data with all of these stakeholder groups. We celebrate success and create action plans to support improvement. Sources of data that we analyze and discuss include: 1) California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress (CAASPP), 2) Running Record Benchmarks, 3) iReady (Diagnostic Assessment for Reading and Math), 4) Attendance, 5) LCAP Parent Survey Results and 6) ELPAC data.

Lewis Elementary School believes that highly qualified teachers that reflect high moral and ethical character and consistently model a passion for education must be recruited, trained, and retained. Lewis Elementary School has created a targeted Professional Development Plan, building expertise in our selected evidence-based practices. These evidence-based teaching practices have been identified to strengthen our core instruction and district instructional initiatives. Lewis Elementary School's best teaching practice support our instructional focus through our Guided Reading Program, targeted on strengthening comprehension. The implementation of our best practice is evident in every classroom and with every student. Professional Development is key to our continued academic achievement. Professional Development topics for the 2022-2023 school year include:

1) Balanced Literacy Training with DUSD Elementary Education Department/Instructional Coaches, 2) Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI), 3) ILT, 4) Data-Driven Instruction, 5) Technology Integration, 6) Lucy Calkins Phonics K-2, 7) Utilizing District Instructional Coaches (TOSAs) to support initiative implementation, 8) Increasing student engagement through Kagan structures, 9) Staff differentiated book study; 10) Professional Learning Communities (PLCs), 11) Innovation and Design Lab, and 12) Early Broadcasting.

The "power of parents" can positively impact an entire school community! Promoting parent partnerships that enhance academic programs and the all-around success of our students is a top- priority for Lewis Elementary School. Our vision aligns with Downey Unified School District's vision, which states, "All students graduate with a 21st Century education that ensures they are college and career ready, globally competitive, and citizens of strong character." At Lewis Elementary School, we believe that partnerships and communication with parents and the community must be nurtured to optimize opportunities for learning and growth for our students.

Our daily goal continues to be having our parents enter our campus doors and feel welcome, which directly impacts student achievement. Based on the 2021-2022 LCAP Survey Results, 93% of our parents feel welcome when they are on Lewis Elementary School's campus; 94% of our parents believe Lewis Elementary School has high expectations for all students; 95% of our parents feel their child is positively impacted by the Character Counts Program, and 96% of our parents feel our school has the technology needed for their child to learn. This data continues to "drive" our intentionality of ensuring that parents truly believe they are invaluable members of our TEAM. We are committed to creating a culture of universal achievement while integrating team building and unity among colleagues, students, parents, and community members.

Over the last few years, with our educational system reform, our parents are encouraged to have a "strong voice." Research shows that parent involvement by itself can lead to great gains; and, when paired with other school improvement programs, its effects can be even greater. The outcomes can depend on many various factors. Outcomes from parent involvement include: 1) Significant reading progress by students who have parents participate; 2) Students demonstrating an increase in their Running Record Reading assessment scores; 3) Increase in Parent Attendance and Participation at Parent Meetings such as PTA, English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC), and "Instructional Talks with Ms. Box and Mrs. Velasco" Coffee with the Principals meetings; 4) Improved student behavior and attitude towards school; and 5) Improved communication between parents and teachers which results in increased student engagement, homework completion rates, and on-task classroom participation.

Our Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) has increased its membership! In addition, our parents have been committed to attending our monthly meetings!

Our goal in presenting you with this information is to keep our community well informed. If you have any questions or are interested in making an appointment to discuss this report, please call our school.

Allison Box, PRINCIPAL

About this School

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Grade Level

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	121
Grade 1	112
Grade 2	121
Grade 3	121
Grade 4	151
Grade 5	129
Total Enrollment	755

2021-22 Student Enrollment by Student Group

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Female	48.6
Male	51.4
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0
Asian	1.9
Black or African American	3.6
Filipino	1.3
Hispanic or Latino	86.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3
Two or More Races	1.3
White	4.8
English Learners	18.0
Foster Youth	0.8
Homeless	0.1
Migrant	0.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	72.7
Students with Disabilities	12.1

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

2020-21 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)	32.00	88.89	821.10	85.02	228366.10	83.12
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned	1.00	2.78	6.00	0.62	4205.90	1.53
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)	1.00	2.78	88.60	9.18	11216.70	4.08
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)	0.00	0.00	10.40	1.09	12115.80	4.41
Unknown	2.00	5.56	39.50	4.09	18854.30	6.86
Total Teaching Positions	36.00	100.00	965.80	100.00	274759.10	100.00

Note: The data in this table is based on Full Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

2021-22 Teacher Preparation and Placement

Authorization/Assignment	School Number	School Percent	District Number	District Percent	State Number	State Percent
Fully (Preliminary or Clear) Credentialed for Subject and Student Placement (properly assigned)						
Intern Credential Holders Properly Assigned						
Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments ("ineffective" under ESSA)						
Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field ("out-of-field" under ESSA)						
Unknown						
Total Teaching Positions						

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Note: The data in this table is based on Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) status. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time. Additionally, an assignment is defined as a position that an educator is assigned based on setting, subject, and grade level. An authorization is defined as the services that an educator is authorized to provide to students.

Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments (considered "ineffective" under ESSA)

Authorization/Assignment	2020-21	2021-22
Permits and Waivers	0.00	
Misassignments	1.00	
Vacant Positions	0.00	
Total Teachers Without Credentials and Misassignments	1.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Credentialed Teachers Assigned Out-of-Field (considered "out-of-field" under ESSA)

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Credentialed Teachers Authorized on a Permit or Waiver	0.00	
Local Assignment Options	0.00	
Total Out-of-Field Teachers	0.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

2021-22 Class Assignments

Indicator	2020-21	2021-22
Misassignments for English Learners (a percentage of all the classes with English learners taught by teachers that are misassigned)	3.20	
No credential, permit or authorization to teach (a percentage of all the classes taught by teachers with no record of an authorization to teach)	0.00	

2021-22 data was not included as part of the initial release of data on 1/13/23. The CDE has indicated that the data will be available after the 2/1/23 SARC deadline. The data will be populated when it is published by the CDE.

Note: For more information refer to the Updated Teacher Equity Definitions web page at https://www.cde.ca.gov/pd/ee/teacherequitydefinitions.asp.

2022-23 Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

Year and month in which the data were collected	10/04/2022

Subject	Textbooks and Other Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Reading California, Houghton Mifflin adopted in 2003	Yes	0
Mathematics	California Math Expressions, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, adopted in 2015	Yes	0
Science	California Science, Macmillan/McGraw-Hill adopted in 2007	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History-Social Science for California, Scott Foresman adopted in 2006	Yes	0

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Our school provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. Classrooms, bathrooms, and general areas are in good repair and receive basic cleaning on a daily basis. Detailed cleaning is done during vacation periods. The district provides maintenance services on a regular schedule or when an emergency occurs.

Safety concerns are the number one priority of Maintenance and Operations. District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. The District administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure a suitable learning environment. At the time this report was published, all restrooms on campus were in good working order. Daily reports of incidents that require repair at the school sites are processed through work orders. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The remaining incidents are handled on a first-come, first-served basis to ensure efficient service.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. The District's complete deferred maintenance plan is available at the district office.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

Year and month of the most recent FIT report	7/5/2022

System Inspected	Rate Good	Rate Fair	Rate Poor	Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical	X			D RESTROOM 1: Urinal leaking WO 134718 , Missing three light covers
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			D RESTROOM 1: Urinal leaking WO 134718 , Missing three light covers
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			

Overall Facility Rate

Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
X			

B. Pupil Outcomes	**State Priority: Pupil Achievement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

Statewide Assessments
(i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities).

The CAASPP System encompasses the following assessments and student participation requirements:

1. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for ELA** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
2. **Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments and CAAs for mathematics** in grades three through eight and grade eleven.
3. **California Science Test (CAST) and CAAs for Science** in grades five, eight, and once in high school (i.e., grade ten, eleven, or twelve).
4. **College and Career Ready**
   The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

SARC Reporting in the 2020-2021 School Year Only
Where the most viable option, LEAs were required to administer the statewide summative assessment in ELA and mathematics. Where a statewide summative assessment was not the most viable option for the LEA (or for one or more grade-level[s] within the LEA) due to the pandemic, LEAs were allowed to report results from a different assessment that met the criteria established by the State Board of Education (SBE) on March 16, 2021. The assessments were required to be:

- Aligned with CA CCSS for ELA and mathematics;
- Available to students in grades 3 through 8, and grade 11; and
- Uniformly administered across a grade, grade span, school, or district to all eligible students.

Options
Note that the CAAs could only be administered in-person following health and safety requirements. If it was not viable for the LEA to administer the CAAs in person with health and safety guidelines in place, the LEA was directed to not administer the tests. There were no other assessment options available for the CAAs. Schools administered the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for ELA and mathematics, other assessments that meet the SBE criteria, or a combination of both, and they could only choose one of the following:

- Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments;
- Other assessments meeting the SBE criteria; or
- Combination of Smarter Balanced ELA and mathematics summative assessments and other assessments.

The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standard on CAASPP

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA and mathematics for all students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

The 2020-21 data cells have N/A values because these data are not comparable to other year data due to the COVID-19 pandemic during the 2020-21 school year. Where the CAASPP assessments in ELA and/or mathematics is not the most viable option, the LEAs were allowed to administer local assessments. Therefore, the 2020-21 data between school years for the school, district, state are not an accurate comparison. As such, it is inappropriate to compare results of the 2020-21 school year to other school years.

Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

ELA and mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	45	N/A	47	N/A	47
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	N/A	38	N/A	31	N/A	33

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in ELA by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	424	413	97.41	2.59	44.55
Female	222	215	96.85	3.15	47.91
Male	202	198	98.02	1.98	40.91
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	13	11	84.62	15.38	63.64
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	385	377	97.92	2.08	42.97
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	76	75	98.68	1.32	18.67
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	306	300	98.04	1.96	40.67
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	69	66	95.65	4.35	10.61

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Math by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Math by student group for students grades three through eight and grade eleven taking and completing a state-administered assessment.

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3-Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Student Groups	CAASPP Total Enrollment	CAASPP Number Tested	CAASPP Percent Tested	CAASPP Percent Not Tested	CAASPP Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	424	413	97.41	2.59	37.86
Female	222	215	96.85	3.15	37.21
Male	202	198	98.02	1.98	38.58
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	13	11	84.62	15.38	36.36
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	385	377	97.92	2.08	37.23
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	--	--	--	--	--
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	76	75	98.68	1.32	24.00
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	306	300	98.04	1.96	35.67
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	69	66	95.65	4.35	10.61

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

This table displays the percentage of all students grades five, eight, and High School meeting or exceeding the State Standard.

For any 2020–21 data cells with N/T values indicate that this school did not test students using the CAASPP for Science.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Science (grades 5, 8 and high school)	NT	23.08	NT	23.78	28.5	29.47

2021-22 CAASPP Test Results in Science by Student Group

This table displays CAASPP test results in Science by student group for students grades five, eight, and High School. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Not Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	131	130	99.24	0.76	23.08
Female	69	69	100	0	17.39
Male	62	61	98.39	1.61	29.51
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
Asian	--	--	--	--	--
Black or African American	--	--	--	--	--
Filipino	--	--	--	--	--
Hispanic or Latino	116	115	99.14	0.86	20.87
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
Two or More Races	--	--	--	--	--
White	--	--	--	--	--
English Learners	23	22	95.65	4.35	0
Foster Youth	--	--	--	--	--
Homeless	--	--	--	--	--
Military	0	0	0	0	0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	98	98	100	0	20.41
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0
Students with Disabilities	22	22	100	0	0

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8): Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education.

2021-22 California Physical Fitness Test Results

This table displays the percentage of students participating in each of the five fitness components of the California Physical Fitness Test Results.   Due to changes to the 2021-22 PFT administration, only participation results are required for these five fitness areas. Percentages are not calculated and double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Grade Level	Component 1: Aerobic Capacity	Component 2: Abdominal Strength and Endurance	Component 3: Trunk Extensor and Strength and Flexibility	Component 4: Upper Body Strength and Endurance	Component 5: Flexibility
Grade 5	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3): Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions regarding the school district and at each school site.

2022-23 Opportunities for Parental Involvement

Parents and community members are very supportive of the educational program at our school. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the educational plan to ensure instructional programs are consistent with student needs.

Parents are encouraged to participate on one or more of the following committees or organizations:
- Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- English-Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

The SSC, consisting of school staff and parents, is a major governing body that meets regularly to address programs and components that make up the school, to discuss the effectiveness of programs relative to the goals of the school, and to act as a communication liaison between the community and school.

The contact person for parent involvement is Principal Box; she can be reached at (562) 904-3590.

2021-22 Chronic Absenteeism by Student Group

Student Group	Cumulative Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Eligible Enrollment	Chronic Absenteeism Count	Chronic Absenteeism Rate
All Students	845	809	326	40.3
Female	410	394	155	39.3
Male	435	415	171	41.2
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0.0
Asian	15	14	2	14.3
Black or African American	31	31	13	41.9
Filipino	11	10	0	0.0
Hispanic or Latino	736	703	289	41.1
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	2	1	50.0
Two or More Races	12	12	6	50.0
White	38	37	15	40.5
English Learners	159	150	56	37.3
Foster Youth	14	11	5	45.5
Homeless	2	2	2	100.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	618	592	260	43.9
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0.0
Students with Disabilities	120	110	59	53.6

C. Engagement

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through February, partial school year due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The 2019-20 suspensions and expulsions rate data are not comparable to other year data because the 2019-20 school year is a partial school year due to the COVID-19 crisis. As such, it would be inappropriate to make any comparisons in rates of suspensions and expulsions in the 2019-20 school year compared to other school years.

Subject	School 2019-20	District 2019-20	State 2019-20
Suspensions	0.44	2.65	2.45
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.05

This table displays suspensions and expulsions data collected between July through June, each full school year respectively. Data collected during the 2020-21 school year may not be comparable to earlier years of this collection due to differences in learning mode instruction in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Subject	School 2020-21	School 2021-22	District 2020-21	District 2021-22	State 2020-21	State 2021-22
Suspensions	0.00	0.00	0.06	3.33	0.20	3.17
Expulsions	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07

2021-22 Suspensions and Expulsions by Student Group

Student Group	Suspensions Rate	Expulsions Rate
All Students	0.00	0.00
Female	0.00	0.00
Male	0.00	0.00
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.00	0.00
Asian	0.00	0.00
Black or African American	0.00	0.00
Filipino	0.00	0.00
Hispanic or Latino	0.00	0.00
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.00	0.00
Two or More Races	0.00	0.00
White	0.00	0.00
English Learners	0.00	0.00
Foster Youth	0.00	0.00
Homeless	0.00	0.00
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	0.00	0.00
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0.00	0.00
Students with Disabilities	0.00	0.00

2022-23 School Safety Plan

Safety of students and staff is the primary concern at our school. The school's disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Monthly fire drills and disaster drop drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. A storage bin contains food, water, and other supplies for use during a disaster.

Our school is a closed campus. All visitors are required to sign-in at the school's office. Before school, after school, and during lunch administrators and other campus supervisors monitor activity and ensure student safety.

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed annually, was developed by the school staff to provide students and staff a means to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Components of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include: child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupils procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, suspension and expulsion policies, dress code, and discipline policies. The school safety committee and district personnel evaluate the plan annually and update the plan as needed. The School Safety Plan was last revised in October.

D. Other SARC Information

Information Required in the SARC

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2019-20 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2019-20 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	26		6	
1	26		5	
2	28		5	
3	28		5	
4	34			3
5	33		1	2
Other	11	4		

2020-21 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2020-21 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	25		6	
1	26		5	
2	27		5	
3	29		5	
4	35			4
5	34		1	3
Other	10	4		

2021-22 Elementary Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution

This table displays the 2021-22 average class size and class size distribution. The columns titled "Number of Classes" indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class). The "Other" category is for multi-grade level classes.

Grade Level	Average Class Size	Number of Classes with 1-20 Students	Number of Classes with 21-32 Students	Number of Classes with 33+ Students
K	24		5	
1	26		4	
2	24		5	
3	23		5	
4	34			3
5	31		4	
Other	9	4		

2021-22 Ratio of Pupils to Academic Counselor

This table displays the ratio of pupils to Academic Counselor. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Ratio
Pupils to Academic Counselor	0

2021-22 Student Support Services Staff

This table displays the number of FTE support staff assigned to this school. One full time equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School
Counselor (Academic, Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	
Psychologist	
Social Worker	
Nurse	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	
Other	

2020-21 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 expenditures per pupil and average teacher salary for this school. Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	10117.86	3987.22	6130.65	106914.10
District	N/A	N/A	7942.55	$99,814
Percent Difference - School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-25.7	6.9
State	N/A	N/A	$6,594	$85,368
Percent Difference - School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-7.3	22.4

2021-22 Types of Services Funded

Students with academic needs are provided support before, during, and after school. Title I funds are utilized to provide targeted academic intervention in the core academic areas of English Language Arts and Mathematics. Title III funds are utilized to provide targeted support for our English Learners in acquiring English and reading and writing. LCAP funds are utilized to provide additional in-class support, academic enrichment, and opportunities for students to extend their learning after school.

2020-21 Teacher and Administrative Salaries

This table displays the 2020-21 Teacher and Administrative salaries. For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certification Salaries & Benefits web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts in Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$58,678	$51,081
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$92,267	$77,514
Highest Teacher Salary	$120,115	$105,764
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	$136,680	$133,421
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	$139,055	$138,594
Average Principal Salary (High)	$156,373	$153,392
Superintendent Salary	$295,398	$298,377
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	36%	32%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

Professional Development

Elementary Education continues to focus on supporting teachers and administrators with instructional practices and first-best instruction. These collective efforts help us ensure we are doing everything to provide ongoing and timely academic opportunities and support. These professional learning opportunities include classroom lessons, individual teacher support, grade level PLC meetings, staff meetings, administrator meetings, and districtwide professional learning, to name just some of the ways professional learning support is offered.

In the first best instruction, our focus continues to be on our core content areas, integrating technology in meaningful and relevant ways and analyzing data. During these professional learning opportunities, our goal is to engage our teachers in deep learning, sharing various instructional strategies to meet the diverse instructional needs of all students.

This table displays the number of school days dedicated to staff development and continuous improvement.

Subject	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
Number of school days dedicated to Staff Development and Continuous Improvement	31	27	60