

Price Elementary School

9525 Tweedy Lane • Downey, CA 90240 • (562) 904-3575 • Grades K-5

Mary Weyers, Principal

mweyers@dusd.net

2015-16 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2016-17 School Year



Downey Unified School District

11627 Brookshire Ave.
Downey, CA 90241-7017
(562) 469-6500
www.dusd.net

District Governing Board

Tod M. Corrin
William A. Gutierrez
Donald E. LaPlante
D. Mark Morris
Barbara R. Samperi
Martha E. Sodetani
Nancy A. Swenson

District Administration

John A. Garcia, Jr., Ph.D.
Superintendent
Roger Brossmer
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services - Secondary**
Nancy Nien, Ph.D.
**Assistant Superintendent, Business
Services**
Wayne Shannon
**Assistant Superintendent,
Educational Services - Elementary**
Rena Thompson, Ed.D.
**Assistant Superintendent,
Certificated Human Resources**
Veronica Lizardi
**Director, Instructional Support
Programs**
Marian Reynolds
Administrator, Student Services
Jennifer Robbins
Director, Elementary Education
Ruth Valadez
Director, Special Education

School Description

Welcome to Price Elementary School, where “the pursuit of excellence is standard practice.” The data and information contained within these pages will prove useful in informing you about our school, including but not limited to academic standings, curriculum and instruction, school facilities and safety, budget, and facility enhancement.

As you read our School Accountability Report Card, you will see a school that ensures every child has equal access to a rigorous core curriculum in language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. The hard-working staff is both skilled and dedicated to the success of our students. Our parents and community sponsors are very supportive.

Our goal in presenting you with the information within this report card is to keep our community well informed. If you have any questions or are interested in making an appointment to discuss this report, please call our school.

Mary Weyers, PRINCIPAL

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

| 2015-16 Student Enrollment by Grade Level | |
|---|--------------------|
| Grade Level | Number of Students |
| Kindergarten | 127 |
| Grade 1 | 138 |
| Grade 2 | 141 |
| Grade 3 | 137 |
| Grade 4 | 157 |
| Grade 5 | 181 |
| Total Enrollment | 881 |

| 2015-16 Student Enrollment by Group | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Group | Percent of Total Enrollment |
| Black or African American | 1.2 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 0 |
| Asian | 2 |
| Filipino | 0.5 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 91.5 |
| Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander | 0 |
| White | 4.1 |
| Two or More Races | 0.7 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 59.1 |
| English Learners | 20.7 |
| Students with Disabilities | 11.8 |
| Foster Youth | 0.7 |

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Basic State Priority (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

| Teacher Credentials | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Price Elementary School | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| With Full Credential | 36.5 | 39 | 37 |
| Without Full Credential | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Downey Unified School District | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| With Full Credential | ♦ | ♦ | 924 |
| Without Full Credential | ♦ | ♦ | 16 |
| Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence | ♦ | ♦ | 16 |

| Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School | | | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Price Elementary School | 14-15 | 15-16 | 16-17 |
| Teachers of English Learners | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Teacher Misassignments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vacant Teacher Positions | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers

| 2015-16 Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Location of Classes | Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers | Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers |
| This School | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Districtwide | | |
| All Schools | 96.3 | 3.7 |
| High-Poverty Schools | 96.3 | 3.7 |
| Low-Poverty Schools | 0.0 | 0.0 |

* High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

The main fact about textbooks that the Williams legislation calls for described whether schools have enough books in core classes for all students. The law also asks districts to reveal whether those books are presenting what the California Content Standards call for.

| Textbooks and Instructional Materials Year and month in which data were collected: 10/11/2016 | |
|--|--|
| Core Curriculum Area | Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption |
| Reading/Language Arts | Reading California, Houghton Mifflin adopted in 2003 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0 |
| Mathematics | California Math Expressions, Houghton Mifflin Harcourt, adopted in 2015 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0 |
| Science | California Science, Macmillan/McGraw-Hill adopted in 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0 |
| History-Social Science | History-Social Science for California, Scott Foresman adopted in 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0 |

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

Our school provides a safe, clean environment for students, staff, and volunteers. Classrooms, bathrooms, and general areas are in good repair and receive basic cleaning on a daily basis. Detailed cleaning is done during vacation periods. The District provides maintenance services on a regular schedule or when an emergency occurs.

Safety concerns are the number one priority of Maintenance and Operations. District maintenance supervisors are proactive and conduct inspections at school sites on a continual basis. The District administers a scheduled maintenance program to ensure a suitable learning environment. At the time this report was published, all restrooms on campus were in good working order. Daily reports of incidents that require repair at the school sites are processed through work orders. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The remaining incidents are handled on a first-come, first-served basis to ensure efficient service.

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. The District's complete deferred maintenance plan is available at the district office.

To determine the condition of our facilities, our district sent experts from our facilities team to perform an inspection using a survey called the Facilities Inspection Tool, which is issued by the Office of Public School Construction.

Based on that survey, we've answered the questions you see on this report. Please note that the information reflects the condition of our buildings as of the date of the report. Since that time, those conditions may have changed.

| School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year) Year and month in which data were collected: 7/26/2016 | | | | |
|---|---------------|------|------|---|
| System Inspected | Repair Status | | | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
| | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer | X | | | |
| Interior: Interior Surfaces | X | | | |
| Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation | X | | | |
| Electrical: Electrical | X | | | |
| Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains | X | | | |
| Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials | X | | | |

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)
Year and month in which data were collected: 7/26/2016

| System Inspected | Repair Status | | | | Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned |
|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | Good | Fair | Poor | | |
| Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs | X | | | | |
| External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences | | | X | | Work orders submitted. |
| Overall Rating | Exemplary | Good | Fair | Poor | |
| | | X | | | |

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

| CAASPP Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject | Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards) | | | | | | | | |
| | School | | | District | | | State | | |
| | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 13-14 | 14-15 | 15-16 |
| Science | 76 | 69 | 69 | 66 | 63 | 57 | 60 | 56 | 54 |

* Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| Grade Level | 2015-16 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards | | |
|-------------|---|--------|--------|
| | 4 of 6 | 5 of 6 | 6 of 6 |
| 5 | 28.6 | 26.3 | 16 |

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

| 2015-16 CAASPP Results for All Students | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Subject | Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11) | | | | | |
| | School | | District | | State | |
| | 14-15 | 15-16 | 14-15 | 15-16 | 14-15 | 15-16 |
| ELA | 51 | 56 | 46 | 52 | 44 | 48 |
| Math | 45 | 52 | 31 | 36 | 34 | 36 |

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

| Group | Number of Students | | Percent of Students | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| | Enrolled | with Valid Scores | w/ Valid Scores | Proficient or Advanced |
| All Students | 184 | 181 | 98.4 | 69.1 |
| Male | 93 | 92 | 98.9 | 68.5 |
| Female | 91 | 89 | 97.8 | 69.7 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 166 | 164 | 98.8 | 68.9 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 112 | 109 | 97.3 | 62.4 |
| English Learners | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 27.3 |
| Students with Disabilities | 27 | 27 | 100.0 | 48.2 |

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students | | Percent of Students | |
|--|----------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 3 | 140 | 139 | 99.3 | 59.7 |
| | 4 | 160 | 159 | 99.4 | 49.1 |
| | 5 | 184 | 181 | 98.4 | 58.6 |
| Male | 3 | 64 | 64 | 100.0 | 54.7 |
| | 4 | 83 | 83 | 100.0 | 47.0 |
| | 5 | 93 | 92 | 98.9 | 52.2 |
| Female | 3 | 76 | 75 | 98.7 | 64.0 |
| | 4 | 77 | 76 | 98.7 | 51.3 |
| | 5 | 91 | 89 | 97.8 | 65.2 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 129 | 128 | 99.2 | 57.0 |
| | 4 | 144 | 143 | 99.3 | 49.0 |
| | 5 | 166 | 164 | 98.8 | 58.5 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3 | 83 | 83 | 100.0 | 54.2 |
| | 4 | 101 | 100 | 99.0 | 41.0 |
| | 5 | 112 | 109 | 97.3 | 53.2 |
| English Learners | 3 | 25 | 25 | 100.0 | 28.0 |
| | 4 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 4.5 |
| | 5 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 9.1 |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 13 | 12 | 92.3 | 25.0 |
| | 4 | 31 | 31 | 100.0 | 16.1 |
| | 5 | 27 | 27 | 100.0 | 3.7 |

ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

**School Year 2015-16 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

| Student Group | Grade | Number of Students | | Percent of Students | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Enrolled | Tested | Tested | Standard Met or Exceeded |
| All Students | 3 | 139 | 139 | 100.0 | 59.7 |
| | 4 | 160 | 159 | 99.4 | 49.7 |
| | 5 | 184 | 181 | 98.4 | 47.0 |
| Male | 3 | 63 | 63 | 100.0 | 65.1 |
| | 4 | 83 | 83 | 100.0 | 49.4 |
| | 5 | 93 | 92 | 98.9 | 44.6 |
| Female | 3 | 76 | 76 | 100.0 | 55.3 |
| | 4 | 77 | 76 | 98.7 | 50.0 |
| | 5 | 91 | 89 | 97.8 | 49.4 |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 128 | 128 | 100.0 | 57.8 |
| | 4 | 144 | 143 | 99.3 | 47.5 |
| | 5 | 166 | 164 | 98.8 | 46.3 |
| Socioeconomically Disadvantaged | 3 | 82 | 82 | 100.0 | 51.2 |
| | 4 | 101 | 100 | 99.0 | 43.0 |
| | 5 | 112 | 109 | 97.3 | 35.8 |
| English Learners | 3 | 25 | 25 | 100.0 | 40.0 |
| | 4 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 18.2 |
| | 5 | 22 | 22 | 100.0 | 4.5 |
| Students with Disabilities | 3 | 12 | 12 | 100.0 | 16.7 |
| | 4 | 31 | 31 | 100.0 | 9.7 |
| | 5 | 27 | 27 | 100.0 | 18.5 |

Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments. Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the Parental Involvement State Priority (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parents and community members are very supportive of the educational program at our school. Staff members and parents participate on various committees that make decisions regarding the priorities and direction of the educational plan to ensure instructional programs are consistent with student needs.

Parents are encouraged to participate on one or more of the following committees or organizations:

- Parent-Teacher Association (PTA)
- School Site Council (SSC)
- English-Language Advisory Committee (ELAC)

The SSC, consisting of school staff and parents, is a major governing body that meets regularly to address programs and components that make up the school, to discuss the effectiveness of programs relative to the goals of the school, and to act as a communication liaison between the community and school.

The contact person for parent involvement is Principal Weyers; she can be reached at (562) 904-3575.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the School Climate State Priority (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Safety of students and staff is the primary concern at our school. The school’s disaster preparedness plan includes steps for ensuring student and staff safety during a disaster. Monthly fire drills and disaster drop drills are conducted on a regular basis throughout the school year. A storage bin contains food, water, and other supplies for use during a disaster.

Our school is a closed campus. All visitors are required to sign-in at the school’s office. Before school, after school, and during lunch administrators and other campus supervisors monitor activity and ensure student safety.

A Comprehensive School Safety Plan, which is reviewed annually, was developed by the school staff to provide students and staff a means to ensure a safe and orderly learning environment. Components of the Comprehensive School Safety Plan include: child abuse reporting procedures, teacher notification of dangerous pupils procedures, disaster response procedures, procedures for safe arrival and departure from school, sexual harassment policy, suspension and expulsion policies, dress code, and discipline policies. The school safety committee and district personnel evaluate the plan annually and update the plan as needed. The School Safety Plan was last revised in October.

| Suspensions and Expulsions | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| School | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions Rate | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| District | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions Rate | 3.5 | 3.0 | 2.5 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| State | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| Suspensions Rate | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| Expulsions Rate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

| 2016-17 Federal Intervention Program | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Indicator | School | District |
| Program Improvement Status | In PI | In PI |
| First Year of Program Improvement | 2013-2014 | 2009-2010 |
| Year in Program Improvement | Year 1 | Year 3 |
| Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | 14 | |
| Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement | 77.8 | |

| Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School | |
|--|-----|
| Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) | |
| Academic Counselor | 0 |
| Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development) | 0 |
| Library Media Teacher (Librarian) | 0 |
| Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional) | |
| Psychologist | 0.5 |
| Social Worker | 0 |
| Nurse | 0.2 |
| Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist | 1.2 |
| Resource Specialist | 1 |
| Other | |
| Average Number of Students per Staff Member | |
| Academic Counselor | 0 |

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

| Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------|---------|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | Average Class Size | | | Number of Classrooms* | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1-20 | | | 21-32 | | | 33+ | | |
| | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 |
| K | 28 | 24 | 25 | | | | 4 | 6 | 5 | | | |
| 1 | 26 | 26 | 27 | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| 2 | 25 | 26 | 26 | 1 | | | 4 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| 3 | 25 | 27 | 27 | 1 | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | | | |
| 4 | 33 | 33 | 30 | | | | | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 | |
| 5 | 25 | 29 | 33 | 2 | 1 | | 6 | 1 | | | 3 | 5 |
| Other | 7 | 9 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | | | |

Professional Development provided for Teachers

Teachers take approximately 5 days per year for their continuing education and professional development to improve their teaching skills in the subjects they teach to support student learning.

| FY 2014-15 Teacher and Administrative Salaries | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Category | District Amount | State Average for Districts In Same Category |
| Beginning Teacher Salary | \$52,782 | \$45,092 |
| Mid-Range Teacher Salary | \$82,996 | \$71,627 |
| Highest Teacher Salary | \$108,045 | \$93,288 |
| Average Principal Salary (ES) | \$120,140 | \$115,631 |
| Average Principal Salary (MS) | \$122,373 | \$120,915 |
| Average Principal Salary (HS) | \$138,120 | \$132,029 |
| Superintendent Salary | \$234,445 | \$249,537 |
| Percent of District Budget | | |
| Teacher Salaries | 43% | 37% |
| Administrative Salaries | 4% | 5% |

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

| FY 2014-15 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries | | | | |
|--|------------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|
| Level | Expenditures Per Pupil | | | Average Teacher Salary |
| | Total | Restricted | Unrestricted | |
| School Site | 9377.40 | 3031.77 | 6345.63 | 82965.64 |
| District | ♦ | ♦ | 6859.58 | \$88,103 |
| State | ♦ | ♦ | \$5,677 | \$75,837 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/District | | | -7.5 | -5.8 |
| Percent Difference: School Site/ State | | | 11.8 | 9.4 |

* Cells with ♦ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Before, during, and after school instructional support is provided for students with academic needs. Classroom and/or intervention teachers work with identified students in core subjects (English/Language Arts, math, social studies, and science) to assist them in reaching State standards. Funding is provided through the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) and other site funds.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.